

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,242	01/12/2001	Robert J. Davidson	10002343-1	10002343-1 2554	
7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R		
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 2724	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2614		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/760,24	2	DAVIDSON, ROB	ERT J.
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		James She		2614	<u> </u>
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the nead patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ever n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	15 April 2005.			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und				e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from cor			
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the ${f I}$	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage
2)  Notice 3) Infor	et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) See of Draftsperson's Patement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Seer No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/15/05 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone (5,734,781) (of record) in view of Chung (6,628,963) (of record) and Beckett (Beckett, Jamie. "Making Room for Digital Data") (of record).

As to claim 1, Cantone discloses a method of portably handling a movie comprising:

storing a digital movie into a portable digital movie storage module (digital video cassette, 10; column 2, lines 55-60);

Art Unit: 2614

connecting the portable digital storage module to a movie playback device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62);

recalling selectively the digital movie from the portable storage module into the movie playback device (VCR; column 2, lines 60-62); and

displaying the movie (column 2, lines 62-65), he fails to specifically disclose a portable digital playback device, displaying the movie on the portable digital playback device and wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory.

In an analogous art, Chung discloses a portable multimedia player (Figs. 1 and 2; column 1, lines 25-30 and column 2, lines 28-35) which is used to display digital video (MPEG video; column 2, line 66-column 3, line 20) received from a removable memory module (column 2, lines 56-62) for the typical benefit of providing multimedia functionality in a convenient portable device (column 1, lines 25-30 and column 3, lines 32-38).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage, page 1, paragraph 5), for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein a portable digital playback device and displaying the movie on the portable digital playback device, as taught by

Art Unit: 2614

Chung, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing

massive amounts digitized media.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Chung's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

As to claim 4, Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose

repeatedly storing additionally electronically readable movies into the memory component of the storage module (see Cantone, column 3, lines 56-59).

As to claim 5, Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose wherein recalling selectively the movie further comprises the playback device including a personal movie player (portable multimedia player; see Chung at Figs. 1 and 2; column 1, lines 20-30).

As to claim 8, Cantone, Chung and Becket disclose performing storing the digital movie and recalling selectively the digital movie in a broadband frequency format (MPEG format; see Chung at column 2, line 35 - column 3, line 11).

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4. Cantone, Chung and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Allen (5,909,638) (of record).

Considering claim 2, although Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose a method of storing and recalling electronically readable movies into and from the memory component of a portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose transferring a copy of the movie from a purchase center into said memory component.

In an analogous art, Allen discloses a method of high-speed video distribution wherein a copy of a movie is transferred from a purchase center into a memory component (column 3, lines 32-48), for the typical benefit of providing a convenient means for a user to receive movies on demand (column 3, lines 32-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the combined methods of Cantone, Chung and Beckett to include transferring a copy of the movie from a purchase center into said memory component, as taught by Allen, for the typical benefit of providing a convenient means for a user to receive movies on demand.

As to claim 3, Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Allen disclose downloading said movie from a remotely located centralized movie database (see Allen at column 3, lines 38-40).

Art Unit: 2614

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Chung and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamagata et al. (Yamagata) (4,908,793) (of record).

As to claim 6, while Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose a method of portably storing electronically readable movies through the use of a storage module, however they fail to specifically disclose wherein the storage module has a communication interface and a power supply.

In an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the typical benefits of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68) and for generating power to record and reproduce information (column 2, lines 39-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Chung and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the typical benefits of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device and for generating power to record and reproduce information in a memory storage device, respectively.

As to claim 7, Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata (as applied above) fail to specifically disclose wherein the memory component further comprises controller logic

for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as recited in the claim.

Yamagata further discloses disclose wherein the memory component (100) further comprises controller logic (disk control circuit 9) for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface (column 2, lines 64-65, column 3, lines 22-26, and column 4, lines 1-4), for the typical benefit of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device (column 2, lines 64-65, and column 4, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata's system to further include wherein the memory component comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as further taught by Yamagata, for the typical benefit of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device in a communications storage medium.

6. Claims 9, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone in view of Chung, Beckett and Yamagata.

As to claim 9, while Cantone discloses a personal digital movie storage module comprising a digital storage device (column 2, lines 20-30) removably connected to a playback device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62) and capable of storing at least one digital movie (column 3, lines 56-58), he fails to specifically disclose a portable digital

Art Unit: 2614

playback device, displaying the movie on the portable digital playback device, wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory and a communication interface for communicating to and from the memory components of the storage module, as recited in the claim.

In an analogous art, Chung discloses a portable multimedia player (Figs. 1 and 2; column 1, lines 25-30 and column 2, lines 28-35) which is used to display digital video (MPEG video; column 2, line 66-column 3, line 20) received from a removable memory module (column 2, lines 56-62) for the typical benefit of providing multimedia functionality in a convenient portable device (column 1, lines 25-30 and column 3, lines 32-38).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage, page 1, paragraph 5), for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

Furthermore, in an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the typical benefit of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein a portable digital playback device and displaying the movie on the portable digital playback device, as taught by

Art Unit: 2614

Chung, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Chung's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Chung and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the typical benefit of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device.

As to claim 10, Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata (as applied above) fail to specifically disclose wherein the memory component further comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as recited in the claim.

Yamagata further discloses disclose wherein the memory component (100) further comprises controller logic (disk control circuit 9) for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface (column 2, lines 64-65, column 3, lines 22-26, and column 4, lines 1-4), for the typical

Art Unit: 2614

benefit of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device (column 2, lines 64-65, and column 4, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata's system to further include wherein the memory component comprises controller logic for operating the storage device and communicating between the memory component and the communications interface, as further taught by Yamagata, for the typical benefit of having the ability to control the read and write operations of the memory device in a communications storage medium.

As for claim 15, while Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata disclose a portable ultra-high capacity storage device and a communications interface, they fail (as applied above) to specifically disclose a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface

Yamagata further discloses said system further comprising a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface (column 4, lines 5-10). As is well known in the art, a housing provides protection for the internal electronics, in this case, the internal storage device and communication interface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time of invention to further modify Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata's system to include a housing enclosing said storage device and the communication interface, as further

Art Unit: 2614

taught by Yamagata, for the purpose of protecting the internal storage device and interface in a digital storage device.

7. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Gibson et al. (Gibson) (5,557,596) (of record).

As to claim 11, while Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata describe the use an atomic resolution storage device, they fail to specifically disclose the atomic resolution storage device comprising: a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electron beam current, and a storage medium near the field emitter having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data.

In an analogous art, Gibson discloses an atomic resolution storage device comprising a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electronic beam (column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 29), and a storage medium near the field emitter and having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data stored in the storage area (column 3, lines 1-5), for the purpose of generating an ultra-high density device capable of reading and writing data on an atomic scale.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Chung, Beckett, and Yamagata's system to include the atomic resolution storage device comprising a micro-fabricated field emitter capable of generating an electron beam current, and a storage medium near the field emitter having a storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent data, as taught by

Art Unit: 2614

Gibson, for the purpose of generating an ultra-high density device capable of reading

and writing data on an atomic scale.

As to claim 12, Cantone, Chung, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose an effect being generated when the electron beam current bombards the storage area, wherein the magnitude is dependent on the state of said storage, and wherein storage data is read by measuring the magnitude of the effect (see Gibson at column 5, line 64 - column 6, line 10).

As to claim 13, Cantone, Chung, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose the atomic resolution storage module further comprising a plurality of storage areas on the storage medium, each storage area in one of a plurality of states to represent information stored in the storage area (see Gibson at column 5, line 64 – column 6, line 10), and a micro fabricated mover in the storage device for positioning various areas to be bombarded by the electron beam current (see Gibson at column 6, lines 2-10).

As to claim 14, Cantone, Chung, Beckett, Yamagata, and Gibson disclose the atomic resolution storage module further comprising a plurality of said field emitters (see Gibson at column 2, line 65 - column 3, line 5), with each emitter fabricated by semiconductor micro fabrication techniques capable of generating an electron beam current (see Gibson at column 3, lines 5-20), with each emitter space apart, and with each emitter being responsible for a number of storage areas such that said emitters

Art Unit: 2614

can function in parallel to increase the data rate of the storage device (see Gibson at column 3, line 57 - column 4, line 20).

8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, in view of Chung, Beckett, Yamagata and Allen.

As to claim 16, while Cantone discloses a portable digital movie storage system (column 2, lines 20-30) for storing at least one digital movie (column 2 lines 22-25) and a movie playback device removable connectable to the digital storage memory device (VCR, Fig. 3; column 2, lines 60-62), he fails to specifically disclose wherein the playback device displays the digital movies, the storage module including an atomic resolution storage device, a communication interface for communicating to and from said storage device,

a system further permitting purchasable access to digital movies, a centralized movie database for downloading to multiple points of purchase,

and a point-of-purchase center for selectively transferring a copy of a movie to the movie storage module, as recited in the claim.

In an analogous art, Chung discloses a portable multimedia player (Figs. 1 and 2; column 1, lines 25-30 and column 2, lines 28-35) which is used to display digital video (MPEG video; column 2, line 66-column 3, line 20) received from a removable memory module (column 2, lines 56-62) for the typical benefit of providing multimedia functionality in a convenient portable device (column 1, lines 25-30 and column 3, lines 32-38).

Art Unit: 2614

Additionally, in an analogous art, Beckett discloses an information storage device consisting of an atomic resolution storage component (page 1, paragraphs 5-6), wherein said storage device is capable of storing digitized media (see DVD storage, page 1, paragraph 5), for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media (page 1, paragraph 2).

Furthermore, in an analogous art, Yamagata discloses a storage device (100) containing a communications interface (6) and being coupled to a power supply (power supply circuit 150 and battery 130), for the typical benefit of transferring data between an external unit and the device (column 2, lines 66-68).

Also, in an analogous art, Allen discloses a system allowing purchasable access to electronically stored movies (column 3, lines 34-40), a centralized movie database for downloads to multiple points-of-purchase (column 2, lines 22-24), and a point-of-purchase center for selectable transferring a copy of the selected movie from said database to the movie storage module memory component (column 2, lines 44-48), for the typical benefit of allowing the convenient purchase of a large, centrally located selection of movies to a multitude of customers (column 3, lines 32-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone's system to include wherein a portable digital playback device and displaying the movie on the portable digital playback device, as taught by Chung, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive amounts digitized media.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone and Chung's system to include wherein said storage module includes an atomic resolution storage memory, as taught by Beckett, for the typical benefit of providing a compact and low-power method of storing massive digitized media in a digital entertainment system.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Chung and Beckett's system to include the storage module as having a communication interface and having a power supply, as taught by Yamagata, for the typical benefit of transferring data between an external unit and the memory device.

Also, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Cantone, Chung, Beckett and Yamagata's system to include a system permitting purchasable access to electronically stored movies; a centralized movie database for download to multiple points-of-purchase; and a point-of-purchase center for selectable transferring a copy of the selected movie from said database to the movie storage module memory component, as taught by Allen, for the typical benefit of allowing the convenient purchase of a large, centrally located selection of movies to a multitude of customers.

As to claim 17, Cantone, Chung and Beckett, Yamagata and Allen disclose wherein recalling selectively the movie further comprises the playback device including

Art Unit: 2614

a personal movie player (portable multimedia player; see Chung at Figs. 1 and 2; column 1, lines 20-30).

As to claim 18, Cantone, Chung, Beckett, Yamagata, and Allen disclose wherein the network and corresponding receiver of the centralized movie database and point-of-purchase-center comprise a satellite network and receiver (see Allen at column 5, lines 28-33).

9. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cantone, Chung and Beckett as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Russo (5,619,247) (of record).

As to claim 19, while Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose storing a movie in the portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose

storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite number of viewings; and

deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the movie has been viewed the finite number of viewings.

In an analogous art, Russo discloses a video system (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 40-64) wherein received programs are stored in a storage unit (14; column 4, lines 10-29) and wherein a viewed movie is deleted from storage (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16) after the user has

Art Unit: 2614

finished viewing the movie (column 11, lines 5-15) for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium (column 11, lines 14-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Cantone, Chung and Beckett's system to include storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite number of viewings; and deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the movie has been viewed the finite number of viewings, as taught by Russo, for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium.

As to claim 20, while Cantone, Chung and Beckett disclose storing a movie in the portable movie storage module, they fail to specifically disclose

storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite period of time; and

deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the finite period of time has expired.

In an analogous art, Russo discloses a video system (Fig. 1; column 3, lines 40-64) wherein received programs are stored in a storage unit (14; column 4, lines 10-29) and wherein a viewed movie is deleted from storage (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16) after a finite period of time for viewing the movie has expired (after a typical movie rental period; column 5, lines 34-42) for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium (column 11, lines 14-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/760,242 Page 18

Art Unit: 2614

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Cantone, Chung and Beckett's system to include storing instructions into the portable movie storage module to limit viewing the movie to a finite period of time; and deleting the movie from the portable movie storage module once the finite period of time has expired, as taught by Russo, for the typical benefit of saving space on the storage medium.

### Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Applicant's arguments filed 04/15/05, in regards to claims 19 and 20, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
  - a. On page 12, applicant argues that Russo does not disclose limiting viewing to a finite number of viewings.

In response, Russo discloses wherein a user may view a video once, and upon completion of that viewing the video is erased (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16). This clearly teaches limiting a viewer to a finite number of viewings, as the viewer in this case was only allowed a single viewing before deletion, and therefore more then meets the current claim limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,242 Page 19

Art Unit: 2614

b. On page 12, applicant argues that Russo does not disclose limiting viewing to a finite period of time.

In response, Russo discloses wherein a stored video is treated according to traditional rental rules (column 5, lines 34-42) wherein a user may view a video as much as they want for a set period of time (such as one-two days; column 3, lines 34-42) whereupon the video is deleted (automatically erasing the movie after it has been viewed and enjoyed; column 11, lines 11-16). This clearly teaches limiting a viewer to a finite period of time, as the viewer in this case is limited to a two day rental period, and therefore more then meets the current claim limitation.

#### Conclusion

12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

## **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on .		
([	Date)	

Page 20

Application/Control Number: 09/760,242

Art Unit: 2614

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Sheleheda Patent Examiner Art Unit 2614

JS

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TICHNOLOGY CENTER 2600